

# LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK

# **CONSULTATION REPORT**

Canada Water Area Action Plan Preferred Options

# 1 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

The purpose of this document is to demonstrate the consultation undertaken in developing the preferred options for the Canada Water Area Action Plan (AAP). This document is prepared under Regulation 30 of the Town and Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004 (amended 2008).

This report shows who has been consulted, how they were consulted and a summary of the main issues raised during the consultation. The report will also demonstrate compliance with the Council's adopted Statement of Community Involvement (SCI). This report is structured as follows:

- This first introductory section sets out the purpose of the report, the objectives for consultation and outlines the background to the AAP.
- The second section identifies who and how we consulted, in compliance with Regulation 25 of the Town and Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004 (amended 2008).
- The third section sets out a summary of the consultations made to date and explains how these were taken into account in the development of the preferred options for the AAP.
- Finally, the last section sets out how the consultation was monitored and how this has been taken into account.

#### 1.2 THE OBJECTIVES OF CONSULTATION

The consultation undertaken during the course of developing the preferred options of the Canada Water AAP meets and exceeds the government requirements under the Planning Regulations. It has also been carried out in accordance with the Council's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) which was adopted on January 29<sup>th</sup> 2008.

During the initial stages of preparing the Canada Water AAP a Consultation Strategy (October 2008) was prepared to guide consultation through the course of the plan's preparation. The consultation strategy was based upon a thorough understanding of stakeholders and previous consultation that had been undertaken in the area. The strategy recognises that the methods of consultation need to respond to and effectively involve the diverse local population. The strategy sets out consultation principles which are:

• Empower local people to participate in the Canada Water Area Action Plan

- Recognise the diversity of the Canada Water area community and make sure
  everyone who may be affected is encouraged to have their say. This includes
  reaching out to people we may not have heard from in the past and holding events at
  accessible times and locations.
- Make sure our consultation promotes good community relations and positive feelings about the future of the Canada Water area and the planning process.
- Communicate clearly, openly and honestly and keeping people informed at all stages of the process. Making information easily to access and understand.
- Avoid asking questions local people have already answered in recent consultation.
- Work with local groups and organisations to tailor consultation exercises and where possible make use of existing planned events, meetings and communication channels.
- Make consultation relevant and interesting to those who will be affected by the Canada Water Area Action Plan.
- Exceed the minimum legal requirements for involving people and making sure we follow Southwark's Statement of Community Involvement.

The consultation strategy sets out that a Consultation Plan would be prepared for each stage of plan making. The Consultation Plan for the Issues and Options is set out in Appendix 1. This Plan elaborates on the overarching Consultation Strategy, providing more detail with regards to the planned consultation events.

#### 1.3 BACKGROUND

The preparation of Canada Water AAP started in January 2008. The following reports have been produced to develop the preferred options for the Canada Water AAP.

- Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report (March 2008) has been subject to a 6 week consultation from 14 March 2008 to 25 April 2008
- An Issues and Options Report— this was published in January 2009 and sets out a number of options for future development in the AAP area. This was subject to a 12 week consultation period. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal and stage 1 Equalities Impact Assessment were also published in January 2009 and subject to the same consultation period.

#### 2. WHO AND HOW WE CONSULTED

#### 2.1 WHO WAS CONSULTED?

Regulation 25 of the Town and Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004 (amended 2008) states that local planning authorities must notify appropriate organisations ("specific and general consultation bodies") which may be affected by or have an interest in development plan documents (DPD's), which include AAPs, and invite them to make representations. In addition, the Regulations state that the local planning authority must also consider whether it is appropriate to invite representations from local residents or businesses in the area. Southwark's SCI sets out a list of statutory and non-statutory consultees for DPD's (Appendix E of the SCI).

Specific Consultation Bodies: All the statutory organisations set out in Appendix E of the SCI have been notified at each stage of the AAP preparation process.

General Consultation Bodies: Notification letters were sent to individuals and organisations at the issues and options stage. These included all the local and other consultees set out in Appendix E of the SCI. A breakdown of these consultees is set out in Appendix 2.

Local residents and businesses: At issues and options stage, notification letters were sent to all the residents whose details we maintain on a consultation mailing list. A breakdown of these consultees is set out in Appendix 2. All residents and businesses living within the AAP core area were notified.

Local residents and businesses have also been invited to participate in consultation through other means such as exhibitions, website updates, newsletters and a notification in the local press. Details of these are set out in section 2.2.

By consulting the specific and general consultation bodies, as well as local residents, community groups and businesses, we have met the requirements of Regulation 25 of the Town and Country (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2004 (amended 2008) and the council's SCI.

## 2.2 METHODS OF CONSULTATION

This section summarises the methods of consultation which we used at each stage of the process in order to meet the objectives of the Consultation Strategy and comply with the Regulations and the SCI. Appendix 3 contains a table with a full summary of the consultation which took place.

#### **Evidence gathering**

The consultation which took place at the first stage in preparing the AAP is set out below:

 Pre AAP consultation: Results of previous consultation undertaken in the area were reviewed. This included consultation on the Southwark Plan (2007), the Canada Water Supplementary Planning Document (February 2005) and Canada Water Masterplan (October 2005)

- Sustainability appraisal scoping report: Consultation on the SA scoping report took
  place over a 6 week period from 14 March 2008 to 25 April 2008. It was publicised
  on the council's website, in local libraries and council offices and by means of an
  advert in the press. Notification letters were sent to statutory consultees.
- Events and exhibitions: Officers presented the issues and options that were being considered for the AAP to members of the public at events and exhibitions within the Canada Water area. Details of these events can be found in Appendix 3.

#### Issues and options

The consultation that took place at issues and options stage is set out below:

- Publicity: Informal consultation took place over a 6 week period between 25<sup>th</sup> November 2008 and 9<sup>th</sup> January 2009. Formal consultation took place over a 6 week period between 9<sup>th</sup> January 2009 and 20<sup>th</sup> February 2009. Notification was undertaken by means of a mail out to contacts on Southwark's Planning Policy database (see Appendix 4 for a copy of the letter), Southwark's website, a newspaper advert (see Appendix 5) and by ensuring that information was available in libraries and council offices (see Appendix 6 for a full list of locations).
- Online consultation: During the formal consultation period the Issues and Options
  report was made available to interested parties on the planning policy team's online
  consultation web page. Here the document and consultation questionnaire were
  available to be viewed in HTML format, much like web pages. The consultation
  questionnaire was interactive and could be completed and submitted online in one
  easy process. Links from the council's/planning policy team's main Canada Water
  page were provided to the consultation page.
- Events and exhibitions: Five exhibitions took place at various locations around the Canada Water Area during the 12 week consultation period. This sought feedback on the issues and options from any interested parties. The dates, times and locations of these exhibitions are explained in Appendix 3.
- Stakeholder/ community group meetings: Officers attended various meetings during the course of the Issues and Options consultation period. Full details are provided in Appendix 3. Meetings attended included the Canada Water Consultative Forum, Rotherhithe Community Council and the Bermondsey and Rotherhithe Green Enthusiasts.

This section together with Appendix 3 (summary of consultation) demonstrates how we have met and gone beyond the minimum requirements for consultation set out in Regulation 25 and the SCI.

With regard to wider participation, by far the most effective means of engagement occurred through the exhibitions. These enabled a large number of people to give their views in a way which was accessible and unintimidating.

At each stage, consultation was monitored and results fed into the planning of the following phases of consultation. The outcome of this process is described in Section 4 of this report.

# 3. SUMMARY OF REPRESENTATIONS MADE AND HOW THESE HAVE BEEN TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT

#### 3.1 SUMMARY OF REPRESENTATIONS MADE

The following section presents a summary of the main issues arising from the consultation which has been carried out and a brief explanation about how these issues have been considered in preparing the preferred options for the Canada Water AAP.

Further information on the issues which arise in this section can be found in Appendix 8 which contains a table of all the comments received and the council's response to them.

Issues and Options Consultation

The Issues and options document set out two different options for developing the Canada Water area.

- **Option one** focused on simply building more houses and would result in relatively low levels of investment in the road network, leisure facilities and the many public and green open spaces.
- Option two focused on more homes, more shops, enhanced leisure facilities and opportunities for local job creation. A greater scale of development would help pay for major road improvements, enhancements to Southwark Park and Russia Dock Woodland and a new leisure centre.

During the consultation we collected consultees views about these two options and what people thought would be the best ways to make Canada Water the highly successful place that we believe it has the potential to be.

In total, 112 representations were received in response to the issues and options report, this included 95 completed questionnaires, 20 emails and 7 written letters. A petition with 37 signatures relating specifically to the Fish Farm site was also submitted.

The results of the questionnaire (number of people supporting either option) and the main issues raised in response to this formal consultation were:

Boundary of the AAP: There was strong support for the proposed boundaries of the AAP. 68 individuals/organisations greed with the boundaries whilst 17 disagreed. The exclusion of Albion Street in the core area was given as a reason by some of those that disagreed.

The Vision and objectives: 48 individuals/organisations agreed with the vision and objectives and 37 disagreed. The main concerns raised were related to the development of a town centre. Some of those that disagreed felt that the area doesn't need any more

development and are happy with the areas current characteristics. In contrast some of those that agreed with the vision felt that the area needs to become more vibrant and active with new shops and facilities.

Shopping: 57 individuals/organisations chose option B and 19 chose option A. Strong support for option B comes with many individuals/organisations being concerned with the quality of any new retailers and a keen interest in smaller, independent local retailers being provided for. Street markets are also supported.

Albion Street: Option B was favoured with 45 supporters and 21 choosing option A. Comments regarding Albion Street clearly identify a desire for the streets retail use to be protected and enhanced. A street market and community uses are also supported and respondents feel the area will benefit from better links to Canada Water tube station.

The road network and car parking: There was strong support for option B with 52 supporting it and 25 supporting option A. Overall individuals/organisations agreed that the road network needs to be improved. Parking was also an important issue with some favouring less to reduce traffic whilst others were keen to protect parking and feel less parking wouldn't help local businesses and retailers develop.

Public Transport: 83 individuals/organisations chose option B and 7 individuals/organisations chose option A. Overall there was strong support for improving bus services, especially their frequency. Increased use of the river was supported but concerns with the cost of using river services were raised.

Walking and cycling: Option B was supported with 62 individuals/organisations choosing it and 18 people choosing option A. The main issue raised regarding walking and cycling was the development of the Sustrans Bridge between Rotherhithe and Canary Wharf which received strong support.

Leisure and entertainment: 54 individuals/organisations chose option B whilst 22 chose option A. The Seven Island Leisure Centre was an issue of concern for many individuals/organisations. It is generally felt that a swimming pool and associated facilities should continue to be provided in the area, some stated that its current location is ideal. Others felt the location was not important as long as continuous provision was provided if a new centre was to be built.

Tourism: 50 individuals/organisations choose option B and 20 choose option A. Overall respondents felt that South Dock marina may not be the best place for a hotel due to its remote location. Enhancements to the St Mary's conservation area were welcomed.

Building heights: Option B had more support with 43 individuals/organisations selecting it and 30 selecting option A. Respondent opinion regarding the issue of tall buildings was also split. Some were in favour of larger buildings (especially around Canada Water) whereas other preferred low rise development. Suitability for families and not recreating 60's style developments were some of the concerns.

Network of open spaces: 59 individuals/organisations chose option B and 23 chose option A. Overall the protection of existing open spaces and improvements to waterways was supported.

Housing: Option B was supported by 50 individuals/organisations whilst 21 supported option A. The Hawkstone estate was a main issue raised by respondents. Overall most individuals/organisations would like to see the estate improved and most appear to favour demolition and rebuild although a number of people favour refurbishment. Other concerns raised were the over provision of housing across the whole area and the effects this will have on traffic levels. The option to redevelop the fish farm site also raised a number of concerns as many local residents do not want to see the area developed. Responses also illustrated uncertainty regarding the current designation of the site.

Affordable housing: 48 individuals/organisations chose option B and 24 people chose option A. Many thought that the 50% target in option A was too high. Responses also raised the issue of what affordable housing means in real terms and the need to create mixed communities. Many feel the area has too many estates.

Tenure mix: Option B had marginally more supporters with 39 to option A's 31. Some respondents felt that the options were proposing the same thing. The main issue raised here was the provision of more family sized homes to provide for families.

Business floorspace: 54 individuals/organisations chose option B and 30 option A. Concerns related to existing unoccupied employment space in the area were raised in relation to the need for any more floorspace. The provision of space for small scale and flexible space which is suitable for small and medium sized enterprises was welcomed. The provision of apprenticeships, training and employment opportunities for local residents with local employers was raised as an important inclusion.

Children's services: With only one option 59 individuals/organisations chose option A compared to 17 who did not agree. The main concern was the need and location for a new secondary school with objections to St Paul's playing field being developed. Concerns were raised concerning the intake of existing schools. Some thought too many children from outside of the local area were filling places that should be held for local children.

Health: 65 individuals/organisations supported the single option A compared to 9 who did not agree. Overall no strong concerns were raised.

Police: With only one option 53 individuals/organisations chose option A compared to 13 who did not agree. Many respondents felt that the police station should remain as a fully operational police station and police presence should not be reduced.

Faith premises: Individuals/organisations chose option A and B 34 times each meaning neither option was fully supported. A number of comments received noted that the options seemed to offer the same thing.

## Statutory consultees

GLA: The GLA noted that both option A and B were compliant with London Plan policy and overall they broadly support option B as it would fit better with London Plan policies. Although one comment related to tenure split explained "London Plan policy sets out that there should be a 30% overall target for family sized homes and a target of 42% for social rented accommodation and 16% for intermediate accommodation. As such neither

option is in line with London Plan policy." The GLA also noted that the delivery section of the document needs strengthening in line with the comments from Government Office for London and that a monitoring section needs to be added.

GOL: Overall GOL questioned the background to most of the options in the report asking if key stakeholders for each issue had been contacted. They also offered guidance about what should be included in the delivery and monitoring section of the AAP.

Natural England: Natural England supported the AAPs as a whole and welcomed the sustainability and transport objectives. They also supported option B. One concern was raised as the Canada and Surrey Waters SINC had not been referenced in the document.

English Heritage: English Heritage support the AAP in terms of structure and demonstrating a clear attempt to assess each of the options against key sustainability objectives but note that the baseline information in respect of the historic environment currently appears to be a bit inconsistent and incomplete. They suggest the discussion of the options for each issue should include a statement about how, or if, they relate to the historic environment. Alternatively, they suggest the borough should give consideration to including a specific strategy for the historic environment or if it is not deemed that there is sufficient historic environment to warrant this then consideration should be given to a broader environmental asset strategy which such assets could be incorporated into.

Environment Agency: Overall the Environment Agency supports the objectives of the AAP but feel the document should address issues related to the water quality in the Dock and Albion channel. They support option B overall but highlight the need to balance new development and placing additional stress on the environment. The agency also advise taking into account the sequential test in the allocation of sites in relation to flood risk.

As noted previously a petition was submitted which set out a strong objection to the inclusion of the Fish farm site as a possible development site for housing/mixed use development.

#### 3.2 HOW THESE ISSUES BEEN TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT

Boundary of the AAP: Following consultation on the issues and options we are proposing to slightly reduce the size of the wider AAP area, by moving the boundary to align with the western side of Southwark Park (and exclude the area around Abbeyfield Road and Raymouth Road). We have also altered the boundaries of the core area so that it includes Albion Street because of the development opportunities on Albion Street and the need to improve it.

Shopping: In response to the issues and options many respondents favoured option B for the shopping and Albion Street options. Option B is our preferred approach which will include providing a substantial increase in the amount of shopping floorspace in the area. The provision of street markets was supported in consultation and our preferred approach is to support the provision of new street markets in the action area, possibly at the new plaza outside Canada Water tube station, or on Albion Street. Albion Street will continue to be protected as a shopping frontage and we will make sure the proportion of hot food takeaways does not rise above 15% to protect the parades vitality.

Transport: Following consultation on the issues and option we are proposing to improve provision for walking, cycling and public transport in line with option B through securing funding from development proposals and working with TfL. Many supported improving transport provision but some concerns were raised regarding the road network and parking. We are proposing to create a road network that is less complicated and easier to find your way around that will in turn, improve the environment for pedestrians and cyclists. Our preferred approach for parking provision is option B which includes shared spaces between town centre uses and restricted spaces for residential development. Some respondents felt less parking may have adverse impacts on existing local businesses and residential streets. Our objective is to encourage people in the area to use sustainable types of transport and providing large amounts of car parking tends to lead to more people travelling by car. The area has good access to public transport services and an extension to the current controlled parking zone will restrict on street parking.

Leisure: In response to the issues and options the Seven Islands Leisure Centre was an issue of concern for many people. It is generally felt that a swimming pool and associated facilities should continue to be provided in the area, some stated that its current location is ideal. Our preferred approach is to support improvements to sports facilities in the AAP area. This will include the refurbishment of the Seven Islands Leisure Centre retained on its current site. In relation to the options for tourism the majority of people felt that option B was the best option. Some felt that South Dock marina was not the best site for a hotel and that the area already has enough hotel provision. Our preferred option is to protect and strengthen arts, cultural and tourism facilities in the area. This approach is consistent with the core strategy preferred options which promotes new activities in the right locations. Although there is already a hotel in the area a study published by the GLA estimates that Southwark needs to provide an additional 2,500 hotel beds by 2026 to meet growing needs in south London.

Places: Building heights: Following consultation on the issues and options, option B had more support in terms of open spaces and building heights but people's opinions regarding the issue of tall buildings was split, some were in favour of larger buildings (especially around Canada Water) whereas others preferred low rise development. Our

preferred approach is to achieve a range of heights in the core area. The height and design of buildings will be carefully considered with any potential harmful effects on residents being minimised. The height of buildings will relate to the size and importance of different streets and public spaces and help strengthen the identity of the area.

Housing: Option B was supported following consultation on the issues and options. The Hawkstone estate was an issue raised by many people. Overall most people would like to see the estate improved and most appear to favour demolition and rebuild although a number of people favour refurbishment. Our preferred option for the Hawkstone Estate is to refurbish homes in John Kennedy house and the low rise blocks and bring them up to Southwark's decent homes standards. After considering the feasibility of redeveloping John Kennedy House and the low rise blocks on the estate we think that refurbishment is a more financially viable option. This option could see homes being improved more quickly.

People were concerned that there would be an over provision of housing across the whole area. Our preferred approach is to help meet the housing needs of people who want to live in Southwark and London by providing high quality homes. The core area of Canada Water provides opportunity for development due to is access to public transport, shops and facilities. The area has already been designated in the London Plan as an Area for Intensification recognising its potential.

The option to redevelop the fish farm site also raised a number of concerns as many local residents do not want to see the area developed. The site will be designated as open space with community use as an acceptable use.

In terms of Affordable housing and tenure mix most people supported option B. This is our preferred approach which is in line with the preferred options for the Core Strategy. This means 35% of new homes will be affordable and 30% of new homes will have 3 bedrooms or more to provide for families in the area. Provision of more family homes in the area was raised as an important issue by some respondents.

Community: In response to the issues and options consultation option B was the preferred option for the business, children's services, health, and faith premises options. Concern was raised regarding the need and location for a new secondary school with objections to St Paul's playing field being developed. St. Pauls will now be designated as open space with community use as an acceptable use. Our preferred approach is to work with partners and school governors to provide a new education campus on the site of Rotherhithe Primary school. The majority of people supported the single option A in relation to the police option but a number of respondents stated that they would like to see a fully operational police station and that police presence in the area should not be reduced. Our preferred approach is to retain police facilities on the current site unless appropriate facilities can be provided elsewhere. The council will work with the Metropolitan police authority over the life of the plan to deliver the best possible solution that meets the demands of the area and the needs of the authority.

#### **4 MONITORING THE CONSULTATION**

The Consultation Strategy states that throughout the consultation programme monitoring will take place to ensure that the objectives of the Strategy are being met. This includes the monitoring of the respondents age, gender and ethnicity. Table 1 below sets out the diversity of the respondents that took part in the issues and options consultation and shows how these results influenced our approach as we progressed through the AAP preparation process.

It was evident at the issues and options stage that young and old people were under represented in the responses. In order to resolve this issue, special events targeted at these particular age groups, will be organised as part of the preferred options consultation.

**Table 1. Monitoring the consultation** 

Group	How consulted	Actions
i.e.  • Women  • Men  • Transgender	In response to the issues and options questionnaire 60% of respondents were male and 40% were female. No information was gathered regarding transgender respondents.	Provide activities and attractions for children during the preferred options consultation which may attract more women to participate.
Age i.e. • Under 16 • 16-24 • 25-35 • 36-55 • 56 & over	Respondents to the issues and options questionnaires were of a range of age groups, as follows:  0% under 16 year olds 6% 16-24 year olds 34% 25-35 year olds 49% 36-55 year olds 11% over 56 years old	During the issues and options consultation there was a notable low response rate from under 16 year olds, 16-24 year olds and those over 56 years old. Therefore these groups will be targeted for consultation at the preferred options stage.
Disabled People i.e. • Disabled or not	In response to the issues and options questionnaire no respondents were disabled.	Local disability groups and the Southwark disabilities forum will be consulted in the preferred options consultation.
i.e. • White • Mixed	The ethnic diversity profile of respondents to the issues and options questionnaires received was as follows:	22% of the population of the AAP area are from ethnic minorities. In order to encourage participation from minority groups that were under represented at

<ul> <li>Asian or Asian British</li> <li>Black or Black British</li> <li>Chinese or other ethnic group</li> </ul>	74% White British 3% Irish 14% Other white 3% Other Asian or Asian British 0% Black/Black British	issues and options consultation events at the preferred options will include special events for target groups including a translator where necessary.
	6% Other Chinese or other ethnic group  91% of the respondents to the issues and options consultation were white with 74% being white British	Southwark minority ethnic group forums and local groups will also be consulted.
Sexual orientation	This information was not recorded	At the preferred options stage we will include this group within our monitoring and consultation
Faith/Belief	This information was not recorded	At the preferred options stage we will include this group within our monitoring and consultation

# **APPENDICES**

APPENDIX 1: Canada Water Area Action Plan Issues and options consultation plan

# CONSULTATION PLAN:

CANADA WATER AREA ACTION PLAN ISSUES AND OPTIONS

London Borough of Southwark

November 2008

# INTRODUCTION

We are currently consulting on issues and options for the Canada Water area action plan (AAP). The purpose of this document is to set out the consultation plan for this stage in the process. This plan elaborates on the overarching consultation strategy for the Canada Water AAP, providing more detail on the planned consultation events. This document should therefore be read in conjunction with the consultation strategy.

The Consultation Plan is structured as follows:

- Canada Water AAP: this section sets out the purpose and scope of the Canada Water AAP
- Consultation Strategy: this section summarises the consultation principles and approach outlined within the Consultation Strategy
- · Consultation Plan; this section outlines the consultation approach for the issues and options stage of the AAP
- Next steps: this section describes how the consultation responses received at the issues and options stage will be reported and fed into the next stage of the AAP preparation process

# THE CANADA WATER AAP

The Canada Water Area Action Plan (AAP) is a plan to regenerate the area around Canada Water. Looking forward to 2020, it sets out a vision which describes the kind of place that Canada Water will be and a strategy for implementing the vision.

It will build on the work we have done in preparing the 2007 Southwark Plan and supplementary guidance for Canada Water. Like those documents, the focus of the AAP will be a core area around Canada Water. However, the AAP will also look a wider set of measures that are needed help the area fulfil its potential and build on some of its key strengths, particularly its attraction for families, its fantastic leisure opportunities and with great parks, the docks and green links, the quality of its environment.

The AAP is being prepared to manage this change. It will identify the measures that need to take place and crucially, unlike the Southwark Plan, it will set out how and when these changes will be delivered. It will guide future investment in Canada Water and will be used to make decisions on planning applications.

The core area identifies in the issues and options will be the main focus for transformation. The wider AAP area extends beyond this core area to ensure future development within the area is well integrated with its surroundings.

# THE CONSULTATION STRATEGY

The consultation strategy provides a framework for consultation and public engagement in the preparation of the AAP. It sets out the principles which will be used to guide consultation on the AAP. These are:

- Empower local people to participate in the Canada Water Area Action Plan
- Recognise the diversity of the Canada Water area community and make sure everyone who may be affected is encouraged to have their say. This includes reaching out to people we may not have heard from in the past and holding events at accessible times and locations.
- Make sure our consultation promotes good community relations and positive feelings about the future of the Canada Water area and the planning process.
- Communicate clearly, openly and honestly and keeping people informed at all stages of the process. Making information easily to access and understand.
- Avoid asking questions local people have already answered in recent consultation.
- Work with local groups and organisations to tailor consultation exercises and where possible make use of existing planned events, meetings and communication channels.
- Make consultation relevant and interesting to those who will be affected by the Canada Water Area Action Plan.
- Exceed the minimum legal requirements for involving people and making sure we follow Southwark's Statement of Community Involvement

It also states that the council will be clear about:

- How feedback will be used to make decisions and what has already been decided.
- What the outcomes of the Canada Water Area Action Plan will be; how these affect local people and change the local area (the wider Rotherhithe area is covered, not just Canada Water).
- The limitations of the Canada Water Area Action Plan and what it cannot do.
- How the Canada Water Area Action Plan differs from previous planning documents and the Canada Water Masterplan. It is about pulling together projects to improve the Canada Water area and making sure what's planned happens.
- How previous consultation has been taken into consideration in preparing the Canada Water Area Action Plan.
- How agreed or proposed developments yet to be built will be affected. The Area Action Plan will build on change that is already happening in the area, such as the new Canada Water library.
- How consultation on the area action plan will feed into preparing the core strategy for Southwark which is being prepared at the same time.

The strategy outlines how consultation will meet statutory minimum requirements. In accordance with our Statement of Community Involvement, it also sets out our ambition to go beyond the statutory requirements, to engage more continuously and intensively and enable those people with a stake in the area to be able to participate and influence the preparation of the AAP.

The strategy emphasises that to help break down barriers to consultation, particular needs such as access, transport, childcare and translation will be considered, as well as a strategy to broaden the appeal of consultation and make it attractive to a diverse range of people and groups. At each stage, participation will be monitored and analysed to see whether any particular groups have not been engaged and whether this can be addressed at the next stage.

At the end of the process, we will also prepare a "statement of compliance" showing what consultation has taken place and how this has influenced the preparation of the AAP.

# ISSUES AND OPTIONS CONSULTATION PLAN

The 2004 Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act (amended 2008) and the council's Statement of Community Involvement require consultation at issues and options stage to be ongoing and informal.

While preparing the issues and options report, the council has undertaken some consultation. The aim has been to use existing consultation structures and events where possible. Consultation undertaken to date has included presentations given to Rotherhithe Community Council and the Rotherhithe Area Housing Forum. Several exhibitions have been held, including at the Event in Southwark Park, at the Rotherhithe Festival and at the Hawkstone Estate Fun day.

Formal consultation on the issues and options report will begin on January 9 2009 and continue for 6 weeks until February 20. We may not be able to consider comments received after 5 pm, 20 Feb 2008

The draft document will be available to view from 18<sup>th</sup> November 2008 on the council's website: http://www.southwark.gov.uk/canadawateraap

The following table outlines all of the consultation actions that will be undertaken at the issues and options stage of the Aylesbury AAP. These actions are set out under the following headings and the objective of each action is clearly defined:

Statutory and wider public;		
Council meetings;		

Local residents:

Other forums;

Shoppers;
Landowners;
Schools;

Service providers; and

Neighbouring boroughs

# Canada Water Area Action Plan Issues and Options stage consultation plan

**Table 1: Consultation Timetable** 

Consultee	Date	Method and objective of consultation
Statutory and wider public		
	9 January 2009	Action: To place an advert in the press.
		Objectives: To raise awareness about consultation. To comply with statutory requirements.
	January 2009	Action: To carry out mail out to all contacts on planning policy database.
		Objectives: To raise awareness about consultation. To comply with statutory requirements.
	January 2009	Action: To place all documents on Southwark's planning policy webpage.
		Objectives: To raise awareness about consultation. To comply with statutory requirements.
	January 2009	Action: To place issues and options report and accompanying documents in libraries, one-stop shops area, housing offices and council offices.
		Objectives: To raise awareness about consultation. To comply with statutory requirements.
	Tbc	Action: Publish Canada Water newsletter.
		Objectives: To raise awareness about consultation.
Council meetings		
	17 March 2008	Action: To make presentation to Rotherhithe community council on AAP consultation. To undertake a workshop.
		Objective: To raise awareness, to involve local people in discussing issues and options for the area.
	15 <sup>th</sup> October 2008	Action: To report the Issues and Options to Planning Committee.
		Objective: To obtain comments from members of the Planning Committee to inform the decision of the Executive member for Regeneration. To comply with Southwark' constitution.
	10 Dec 2008	Action: To make presentation to Rotherhithe community council on issues and options. To

		undertake a consultation exercise
		Objective: To raise awareness, to involve local people in discussing issues and options for the area. To comply with Southwark' constitution.
	29 July 2008	Action: Attend Rotherhithe Area Housing Forum to give presentation on AAP.
		Objective: To raise awareness of the AAP and answer questions.
	04 Feb 2009	Action: To make presentation to Rotherhithe community council on AAP Issues and Options
		Objective: To raise awareness, to involve local people in discussing issues and options for the area. To run issue specific workshops
Other forums		
	20 Jan 2009	Action: To make a presentation to Southwark Equalities Panel.
		Objective: To raise awareness of the AAP and obtain comments from the panel.
	10 Nov 2008	Action: To make a presentation to Bermondsey and Rotherhithe Green Enthusiasts (BARGES)
		Objective: To raise awareness of the AAP and obtain comments from the forum.
	1 Dec 08	Action: To make a presentation to Canada water consultative forum.
		Objectives: To raise awareness about consultation, to understand local people's views on issues, to discuss options.
	Tbc	Action: To make a presentation on the AAP to Southwark Disabilities Forum.
		Objective: To raise awareness of the AAP and obtain comments from the forum.
	8 Jan 2009	Action: To make a presentation to the Southwark Transport Consultative forum
		Objective: To raise awareness of the AAP and obtain comments from the forum.
	21 Jan 2009	Action: To make a presentation to the Bermondsey and Rotherhithe Faith area Network
		Objective: To raise awareness of the AAP and obtain comments from the Network.
	Tbc	Action: To make a presentation on the AAP to Southwark Pensioners Forum.
		Objective: To raise awareness of the AAP and obtain comments from the forum.
	Tbc	Action: To make a presentation on the AAP to Southwark Multi-Faith Forum.
		Objective: To raise awareness of the AAP and obtain comments from the forum.

Local residents		
	23 April 2008	Action: Attend Hawkestone special meeting to discuss housing and AAP issues.
		Objective: To raise awareness of the AAP
	26 April 2008	Action: Hold exhibition on AAP at St George's Day festival
		Objective To raise awareness, to understand local people's views on issues, to discuss options.
	12 July 2008	Action: Hold exhibition on AAP at The Event, Southwark Park
		Objective To raise awareness, to understand local people's views on issues, to discuss options.
	03 August 2008	Action: Hold exhibition on AAP at Carnival, Burgess Park.
		Objective: To raise awareness, to understand residents' views on issues, to discuss options.
	09 August 2008	Action: Hold exhibition on AAP at Hawkstone Fun Day.
		Objective: To raise awareness, to understand residents' views on issues, to discuss options.
	09 August 2008	Action: Hold exhibition on AAP at Rotherhithe Festival.
		Objective: To raise awareness, to understand residents' views on issues, to discuss options.
	Oct 08 - Feb 09	To attend meetings with local community groups, TR&As etc as required.
	10 Nov 2008	To Attend a meeting with Bermondsey and Rotherhithe Green Enthusiasts (BARGES) Objective: To raise awareness, to understand community groups views on issues, to discuss options.
	10 Dec 2008	Action: Hold exhibition on AAP at The Hawkstone over 50s party
		Objective: To raise awareness, to understand residents' views on issues and options.
	Jan - Feb 2009	Action: Hold second exhibition on Hawkstone Estate.
		Objective: To raise awareness, to understand residents' views on issues, to discuss options. To provide feedback on progress towards preferred options.
	10 Jan 2009	Action: Hold exhibition at Rotherhithe library
		Objective: To raise awareness, to understand residents' views on issues and options.

Shoppers		
Споррего	19 & 24 Jan 09	Action: Hold exhibitions on AAP in the Surrey Quays shopping centre.
	10 4 2 1 04.1 00	Trade of the analysis of the analysis of the printing control
		Objectives: To raise awareness among users of the shopping centre, to understand shoppers
		views on issues, to discuss options.
	04 Feb 2009	Action: To make presentation to Silwood community council on AAP Issues and Options
		Objective: To raise awareness, to involve local people in discussing issues and options for the area.
	10 March 2009	Action: To make a presentation to Redriff Tenants Association AGM on AAP issues and options
		Objective: To raise awareness, to involve local people in discussing issues and options for the area.
Landowners		
	15 April 2008	Action: Make a presentation to Canada Water Landowners' Forum.
		Objectives: To raise awareness about the AAP.
	April 2008 – ongoing	Action: Meet Canada Water landowners in the area.
		Objectives: To raise awareness about the AAP, to discuss the aspirations of landowners, development timescales etc.
Schools		
	Feb - March 09	Action: Hold exhibition on AAP in a schools (Bacons college, Rotherhithe School, Albion Primary School)
		Objective: To raise awareness and include young people, to understand their views, to discuss options.
Service providers		
	April - Sept 2008	Action: Regular meetings with Southwark Primary Care Trust.
		Objective: To raise awareness of the AAP, to understand the aspirations and plans of the PCT, to dovetail these with the AAP timetable.
	Jan - Feb 2009	Action: Meet police and emergency services.
		Objective: To raise awareness of the AAP, to understand their plans, to dovetail these with the AAP timetable.

Neighbouring boroughs		
	07 July, 04 Aug 2008	Action: Undertake joint site visits with Lewisham
		Objective: To gain understanding of developments in Lewisham.

# **Consultation Plan Appendix 1 – Planning Policy Mailing List**

It is proposed to include ALL individuals, groups and organisations in the Planning Policy Consultation Mailing List. These comprise:

Type of organisation	Numbers of consultees
Businesses	493
Community and voluntary groups	896
Residents	234
Tenants and residents associations	141
Health related organisations	23
Statutory	37
Government and local authority	10
Libraries	18
Members	62
Schools	68
Council offices	14
Neighbourhood housing offices	10
Others	227
Total	2233

# **Consultation Plan Appendix 2: Statutory consultees mailing list**

Organisation
Natural England (London Region)
London Fire & Civil Defence
Metropolitan Police
The National Trust
Bromley Council
DEFRA
Highways Agency
Lambeth Council
Lewisham Council
London Transport Buses
National Grid Company Plc.
British Telecommunications
British Waterways Board
Church Commissioners for England
Civil Aviation Authority
Commission for Architecture & Built Environment
Commission for Racial Equality
Corporation of London
DEFRA - GO South East
Department of Trade and Industry
Diocesan Board of Finance
English Heritage (London Region)
Environment Agency
Government Office for London
Greater London Authority

Organisation
Highway Agency
London Ambulance Service
London Ambulance Service NHS Trust
London Development Agency
London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority
London Fire Brigade
London Transport Buses
Metropolitan Police Service Property Services
National Grid
Network Rail
Port of London Authority
Southwark Police
Sport England - London Region
Strategic Rail Authority
Thames Water Property Services
Thameslink Trains
Transport for London

# APPENDIX 2: Breakdown of consultees on planning policy database

Type of organisation	Numbers of consultees	
Businesses	493	
Community and voluntary groups	896	
Residents	234	
Tenants and residents associations	141	
Health related organisations	23	
Statutory	37	
Government and local authority	10	
Libraries	18	
Members	62	
Schools	68	
Council offices	14	
Neighbourhood housing offices	10	·
Others	227	·
Total	2233	·

# **APPENDIX 3: Summary of consultation**

Consultation event	Date	Details of event	Outcome
Rotherhithe Community council meeting	10/12/08	Objective: Raise awareness of the document and obtain the publics views. Action: Presented document, invited members of the public to take part in consultation exercise and answered questions. Detail: Posted board that explained issues and asked members of the public to place coloured dot in a section for option A or B to inform us of their preferred option.	See table 2 below
BARGES meeting	10/11/08	Objective: Raise awareness of the document and obtain the publics views. Action: Presented the document, informed the group of the consultation periods and methods and answered questions. Detail: Questions were answered on the document as a whole and specifically green issues	The group welcomed being involved and expressed a keen interest in taking part in the formal consultation
Rotherhithe Estate over 50s Party	10/12/08	Objective: Raise awareness of the document and obtain the publics views. Action: Presented document, invited members of the public to take part in consultation exercise and answered questions. Detail: Posted board that explained issues and asked members of the public to place coloured dot in a section for option A or B to inform us	See table 3 below

		of their preferred option.	
Pumphouse Museum Exhibition	14/01/09	Objective: Raise awareness of the document and obtain the publics views.  Action: Presented document, invited members of the public to take part in consultation exercise and answered questions.  Detail: Posted details of all of the issues raised in the AAP and asked members of the public to fill in questionnaires. This gave the opportunity for them to choose either option A,B or provide further preferences	Two individuals and one couple with an interest in the document attended and asked questions regarding the document. Everyone that attended took away a copy of the consultation questionnaire, the document and information leaflet.
Rotherhithe Library Exhibition	17/01/09	Objective: Raise awareness of the document and obtain the publics views.  Action: Presented document, invited members of the public to take part in consultation exercise and answered questions.  Detail: Posted details of all of the issues raised in the AAP and asked members of the public to fill in questionnaires. This gave the opportunity for them to choose either option A,B or provide further preferences	Several people took an interest in the document and asked questions regarding the document. Everyone that attended took away a copy of the consultation questionnaire, the document and information leaflet.
Surrey Quays Shopping centre exhibition 1	19/01/09	Objective: Raise awareness of the document and obtain the publics views.  Action: Presented document, invited members of the public to take part in consultation exercise and answered questions.  Detail: Posted details of all of the	Attended:30 -40 Questionnaires Filled in:7 Questionnaires taken away:25 - 35 Documents taken away:15-20

Bermondsey and Rotherhithe Area Faith Meeting	21/01/09	issues raised in the AAP and asked members of the public to fill in questionnaires. This gave the opportunity for them to choose either option A,B or provide further preferences  Objective: Raise awareness of the document and obtain the publics views.  Action: Presented document and answered questions	Everyone at the meeting had the opportunity to take away a copy of the consultation questionnaire, the document and information leaflet.
Surrey Quays Shopping centre exhibition 2	24/01/09	Objective: Raise awareness of the document and obtain the publics views.  Action: Presented document, invited members of the public to take part in consultation exercise and answered questions.  Detail: Posted details of all of the issues raised in the AAP and asked members of the public to fill in questionnaires. This gave the opportunity for them to choose either option A,B or provide further preferences	Attended: Questionnaires Filled in: 25 Questionnaires taken away: 100 Documents taken away: 25
Silverlock Tenants Hall Exhibition	27/01/09	Objective: Raise awareness of the document and obtain the publics views.  Action: Presented document, invited members of the public to take part in consultation exercise and answered questions.  Detail: Posted details of all of the issues raised in the AAP and asked members of the public to fill in questionnaires. This gave the	Attended:1 Questionnaires Filled in:0 Questionnaires taken away:1 Documents taken away:1

		opportunity for them to choose either option A,B or provide further preferences	
Rotherhithe Community Council	04/02/09	Objective: Raise awareness of the document and obtain the publics views.  Action: Presented document, invited members of the public to take part in consultation exercise and answered questions from the floor.  Detail: Officers took questions from the floor for a substantial amount of time and also ran three topic specific workshops. Boards were also posted that explained issues and asked members of the public to fill in questionnaires. This gave the opportunity for them to choose either option A,B or provide further preferences	Attended:100+ Questionnaires Filled in: 0 Questionnaires taken away: 30 - 50 Documents taken away: 15-20
Lewington Centre exhibition	04/02/09	Objective: Raise awareness of the document and obtain the publics views.  Action: Presented document, invited members of the public to take part in consultation exercise and answered questions.  Detail: Posted details of all of the issues raised in the AAP and asked members of the public to fill in questionnaires. This gave the opportunity for them to choose either option A,B or provide further preferences	Display boards, questionnaires, and pamphlets were left at this location during the day to raise awareness of the document before the evening meeting detailed below.
Silwood Estate Community group meeting	04/02/09	Objective: Raise awareness of the document and obtain the publics views.	A number of questions were raised regarding the document and these were answered by the officer

		Action: Presented document, invited members of the public to take part in consultation exercise and answered questions.  Detail: Questionnaires and pamphlets were handed to all at the meeting.	present.
Brunel museum exhibition	07/02/09	Objective: Raise awareness of the document and obtain the publics views. Action: Presented document, invited members of the public to take part in consultation exercise and answered questions. Detail: Posted details of all of the issues raised in the AAP and asked members of the public to fill in questionnaires. This gave the opportunity for them to choose either option A,B or provide further preferences	Attended: 3-5 Questionnaires Filled in:1 Questionnaires taken away:4 Documents taken away:1  Display boards, questionnaires, and pamphlets were also left at this location for 2 weeks until the end of the consultation period.
Redriff Tenants Association AGM	10/03/09	Objective: Raise awareness of the document and obtain the publics views. Action: Presented document and answered questions	Everyone at the meeting had the opportunity to take away a copy of the consultation questionnaire, the document and information leaflet.

Table 2: Rotherhithe Community Council (12/10/08) Consultation Responses

Dot Placement	Transport	Shopping	Homes	Places	
					Leisure
Option A	1	0	0	1	4
Option B	3	3	1	0	2

Additional comments: Places: "Nothing over 6 storeys!" "What does tourism at SDM mean in real terms? What do you envisage people doing there?

Table 3: Hawkstone Over 50s Christmas Party Consultation Responses

Dot Placement	Transport	Shopping	Homes	Places	Leisure
Option A	3	4	0	0	0
Option B	7	6	7	3	11

#### Additional comments:

Transport: "Option B – make sure choices work"

Shopping: "Option B with better shopping choice, removing the dominance of Tesco's"

"Poor service in Tesco's, reorganisation of store so clothes and electronics are at the front of the store with normal groceries at the

rear is inconsiderate to the elderly and less able."

Leisure: "More facilities for young people required within the local area (for all ages)."

"Option B – make sure new leisure centre is close to the existing site."

"7 Islands - professional pool, not leisure only - accessible to the public too."

Places: "No high rise res blocks."

"Specific complex for over 50s."
"No tall buildings." x 8 comments.

"Actual houses and bungalows – lose rise." "Intermediate housing is a bad option."

"Option B - But carefully located tall buildings ok, make sure related servicing is of a high standard that last into the future

though."

Homes: " As option B but with 50% affordable homes."

"Hawkstone estate - Knock it down and build high quality homes."

"Low rise redevelopment - strongly object as its the councils fault there deteriorating due to neglect."

"Option B but 25% family homes not just 3+ bed - but actually FAMILY orientated homes."

#### **APPENDIX 4: Consultation letter**

Southwark Council

Planning Policy & Research Direct Line 020 752 5471

Facsimile 020 7525 5561 Email planningpolicy@

southwark.gov.uk

Dear Sir or Madam.

#### HAVE YOUR SAY ON THE CANADA WATER AREA ACTION PLAN

The Canada Water Area Action Plan (AAP) is a document that will ensure real change within the Canada Water area over the next 15 years. It aims to create a genuine town centre which is distinctive and reflects the area's heritage, an area which is renowned for its great open spaces and leisure facilities and a place with great schools and homes which are attractive to families.

When it is finally adopted by the council, it will be part of our Local Development Framework (LDF) and will be used to make planning decisions in the area as well as quide investment.

The council is now consulting on the Issues and Options Report for the Canada Water Area Action Plan. This document sets out the key options for development in the area. This includes options about the amount and type of shopping space that should be provided, improvements to the road network and pedestrian and cycling routes, leisure facilities, sites for new housing and the mix of social rented and private housing.

If you are interested in finding out more about the Canada Water Area Action Plan we will be holding several exhibitions and drop-in question and answer session in January and February. These will be at the following locations:

#### **Public exhibitions**

- Pump House Museum 14 January 2009 1pm 5pm
- Rotherhithe Library 17<sup>th</sup> January 2009 10am 5pm
- Surrey Quays shopping centre 19 January 2009 10am 6pm
- Surrey Quays shopping centre 24 January 2009 10am 6pm
- Silverlock Tenants Hall 27 January 2009 1pm 5pm

• Brunel Museum – 7 February 2009 10am - 5pm

It is advised you also check the website before you attend any of these sessions to confirm details and to view details of any further exhibitions. If you would like us to attend your local group meeting please contact us.

#### Other documents

The Issues and Options Report is accompanied by an interim Sustainability Appraisal. The details of where the appraisal is available are set out below.

## What is the period for consultation?

The Issues and Options Report is available for you to comment on from **January 09** until **February 20 2009**.

# How do I view the Canada Water Area Action Plan Issues and Options Report?

The Issues and Options Report and the Interim Sustainability Appraisal are available to view and download from the council's website at:

http://www.southwark.gov.uk/canadawateraap. The documents are also available for inspection at the Town Hall, Chiltern House, libraries, neighbourhood housing offices and one-stop shops. A list of these locations and their opening times is attached to this letter.

Copies of these documents can also be requested by writing to Planning Policy, Chiltern House, Portland Street, London SE17 2ES or by telephoning 020 7525 5380 or by emailing planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk. For people who are not residents of Southwark or a community or voluntary group that operates in Southwark, paper copies of the Issues and Options Report and the Interim Sustainability Appraisal are available for £30 each.

#### How do I submit my comments?

To comment on the Issues and Options report, please fill in the online questionnaire available on our online consultation webpage: <a href="https://ldfconsultation.southwark.gov.uk">https://ldfconsultation.southwark.gov.uk</a>. If you have not registered please follow the quick and easy registration process. Once registered you will be able to view our documents and make comments easily online. We will also be able to contact you directly regarding your responses and future consultations.

Alternatively you can print off the questionnaire from <a href="www.southwark.gov.uk/canadawateraap">www.southwark.gov.uk/canadawateraap</a> complete and return it by post, email or fax to:

Freepost SE1919/14,
Planning Policy, Chiltern House,
Portland Street, London SE17 2ES

Email address: canadawateraap@southwark.gov.uk

Fax number: 0207 525 5561

What is the deadline for comments?

All representations should be received no later than 5pm on Friday February 20 2009.

## **Further information**

If you require any further information about the Issues and Options Report, including details of the council's translation service, please contact Sukhie Chohan on 020 7525 5471 or by email at <a href="mailto:planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk">planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk</a>.

Yours faithfully

Julie Seymour

What Saymour

Planning Policy Manager Planning Policy and Research

## **APPENDIX 5: Press advert**



## **APPENDIX 6: Report locations**

Council offices (Opening times 9am-5pm Monday-Friday)

- Town Hall Peckham Road, London, SE5 8UB
- Chiltern House Portland Street, London, SE17 2ES

#### Libraries (Opening times listed individually below)

- Blue Anchor Library Market Place, Southwark Park Road, SE16 3UQ (Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 9am to 7pm, Friday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm)
- Brandon Library Maddock Way, Cooks Road, SE17 3NH (Monday 10am to 6pm, Tuesday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)
- Camberwell Library 17-21 Camberwell Church Street, SE5 8TR (Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 9am to 8pm, Friday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm)
- Dulwich Library 368 Lordship Lane, SE22 8NB (Monday, Thursday and Friday 9am to 8pm, Tuesday 10am to 8pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm Sun 12pm to 4pm)
- East Street Library 168-170 Old Kent Road, SE1 5TY
   (Monday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Tue 10am to 6pm, Sat 10am to 5pm)
- Grove Vale Library 25-27 Grove Vale, SE22 8EQ (Monday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Tuesday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)
- John Harvard Library 211 Borough High Street, SE1 1JA (Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Wednesday and Friday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 10am to 2pm)
- Kingswood Library Seeley Drive, SE21 8QR (Monday and Thursday 10am to 2pm, Tuesday and Friday 2pm to 6pm, Sat 1pm to 5pm)
- Newington Library 155-157 Walworth Road, SE17 1RS
   (Monday, Tuesday and Friday 9am to 8pm, Thursday 10am to 8pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm Sunday 10am to 2pm)
- Nunhead Library Gordon Road, SE15 3RW (Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Friday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)
- Peckham Library 122 Peckham Hill Street, SE15 5JR
   (Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday 9am to 8pm, Wednesday 10am to 8pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm, Sunday 12pm to 4pm)
- Rotherhithe Library Albion Street, SE16 7HY
   (Monday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Tuesday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)

## Area Housing Offices (Open 9am-5pm Monday - Friday)

- Nunhead and Peckham Rye 27 Bournemouth Road, Peckham, SE15 4UJ
- Dulwich 41-43 East Dulwich Road, SE22 9BY
- Borough and Bankside Library Street Borough, London, SE1 0RG

- Camberwell Harris Street, London, SE5 7RX
- Rotherhithe 153-159 Abbeyfield Road, Rotherhithe, SE16 2LS

# One Stop Shops (Open 9am-5pm Monday – Friday)

- Peckham one stop shop 122 Peckham Hill Street, London, SE15 5JR
- Walworth one stop shop 151 Walworth Road, London, SE17 1RY
- Bermondsey one stop shop -17 Spa Road, London, SE16

Copies of the submitted Scoping Report are available on request. Contact: Planning policy team, Chiltern House, Portland Street, SE17 2ES. Tel: 020 7525 5454 (between 9am-5pm, Monday-Friday), Email: <a href="mailto:planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk">planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk</a>. It is also free to download at <a href="mailto:Southwark Council">Southwark Council</a> | Your Services | Planning & Building Control | Planning Policy.

# **APPENDIX 6: Summary of Questionnaire Responses**

This is a summary of the responses we received through our questionnaire.

# 1. The boundary of the AAP area

# Do you agree with the boundary of the area action plan and the boundary of the core area?

Yes	81.4%
No	18.6%

## 2. The vision and objectives for the AAP area

# Do you agree with the vision and objectives for Canada Water?

Yes	58%
No	42%

## 3. Shopping - Please select your preferred option:

Option A	20%
Option B	57%
If you do not agree with any of the issues and options, or if	
you feel there is anything we have missed out, please tell us:	23%

# 3a. Albion Street - Please select your preferred option:

Option A	23.6%
Option B	52.8%
If you do not agree with any of the issues and options, or if	
you feel there is anything we have missed out, please tell us:	23.6%

# 4. The road network and car parking - Please select your preferred option:

Option A	23.8%
Option B	50.5%
If you do not agree with any of the issues and options, or if	
you feel there is anything we have missed out, please tell us:	25.7%

## 4a. Public transport - Please select your preferred option:

Option A	6.9%
Option B	73.3%
If you do not agree with any of the issues and options, or if	
you feel there is anything we have missed out, please tell us:	19.8%

# 4b. Walking and cycling - Please select your preferred option:

Option A	17.3%

Option B If you do not agree with any of the issues and options, or if	60.6%
you feel there is anything we have missed out, please tell us:	22.1%
5. Leisure and entertainment - Please select your preferred option:	
Option A Option B If you do not agree with any of the issues and options, or if	24.3% 51.4%
you feel there is anything we have missed out, please tell us:	24.3%
5a. Tourism - Please select your preferred option:	
Option A Option B If you do not agree with any of the issues and options, or if	20.4% 51.0%
you feel there is anything we have missed out, please tell us:	28.6%
6. Building heights - Please select your preferred option:	
Option A Option B	30.6% 43.9%
If you do not agree with any of the issues and options, or if you feel there is anything we have missed out, please tell us:	25.5%
6a. Network of open spaces - Please select your preferred option:	
Option A Option B	22.8% 58.4%
If you do not agree with any of the issues and options, or if you feel there is anything we have missed out, please tell us:	18.8%
6b. Energy and Water- Please select your preferred option:	
Option A Option B If you do not agree with any of the issues and options, or if	25.8% 52.7%
you feel there is anything we have missed out, please tell us:	21.5%
7. Housing - Please select your preferred option:	
Option A Option B If you do not agree with any of the issues and options, or if	21.2% 50.5%
you feel there is anything we have missed out, please tell us:	28.3%
7a. Affordable housing - Please select your preferred option:	
Option A Option B If you do not agree with any of the issues and options, or if	18.9% 62.2%

you feel there is anything we have missed out, please tell us:	18.9%		
7c. Bedroom mix - Please select your preferred option:			
Option A Option B If you do not agree with any of the issues and options, or if you feel there is anything we have missed out, please tell us:	36.8% 44.8% 18.4%		
8. Business floor space and jobs - Please select your preferred opti			
of Dustiless floor space and jobs in lease select your preferred opti	OII.		
Option A Option B If you do not agree with any of the issues and options, or if	32.3% 56.3%		
you feel there is anything we have missed out, please tell us:	11.5%		
8a. Children's services - Please select your preferred option:			
Option A	78%		
Any other comment	22%		
8b. Health - Please select your preferred option:			
Option A	88%		
Any other comment	12%		
8c. Police - Please select your preferred option:			
Option A	80%		
Any other comment	20%		
8d. Faith premises, youth facilities and meeting halls - Please select option A if you agree with the option:			
Option A	39.5%		
Option B	39.5%		
If you do not agree with any of the issues and options, or if you feel there is anything we have missed out, please tell us:	20.9%		
jes ies and is anything its hare interest out, process ton do.	_0.0 /0		

# **APPENDIX 8: Table of representations**

Available on our website at <a href="www.southwark.gov.uk/canadawateraap">www.southwark.gov.uk/canadawateraap</a>